Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/890,175	TATSUNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry M Johnson, III	3739
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Henry M Johnson, III</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Spinelli</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 July 2004	Time: 9:05 AM EDT	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Corrected dependency of claim 4 and included backlash wording in claim 1 for examiner's amendment		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
M. M. John (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		